1	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), defendants Bank of America Corporation, Bank of America,		
2	N.A., and FIA Card Services, N.A. (collectively, "Defendants") and plaintiff Blanche Melendez		
3	("Plaintiff") stipulate as follows:		
4	WHEREAS, on or about August 5, 2011, Plaintiff filed her complaint in Melendez v. Bank		
5	of America, N.A., et al., Case No. 11-CV-5467 (the "Melendez action"), in the United States		
6	District Court for Southern District of New York;		
7	WHEREAS, on August 26, 2011, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML")		
8	made the Melendez action subject to a conditional transfer order to be coordinated in this Court		
9	with In re: Bank of America Credit Protection Marketing & Sales Practices Litigation, Case No.		
0	11-MD-2260 ("MDL action");		
1	WHEREAS, on September 15, 2011, the Melendez action was finally transferred and given		
12	the individual case number in this Court of 11-cv-04575-TEH;		
13	WHEREAS, pursuant to Defendants' agreement to waive service on August 23, 2010,		
14	Defendants' response to Plaintiff's complaint is currently due on or before October 22, 2011;		
15	WHEREAS, an initial Case Management Conference ("CMC") is scheduled in the MDL		
16	action on November 14, 2011;		
17	WHEREAS, this Court's Order Setting the Case Management Conference stayed all		
18	discovery and denied without prejudice all currently pending motions with leave to re-file after the		
19	CMC (Dkt. 11 ¶ 11);		
20	WHEREAS, Defendants' counsel contacted Plaintiff's counsel on October 18, 2011 to		
21	discuss an extension of its deadline to respond to the Complaint until after the CMC;		
22	WHEREAS, the Parties met and conferred regarding Defendants' requested extension, and		
23	have agreed that good cause exists to extend Defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint as		
24	any motion or responsive pleading filed by Defendants prior to the CMC would be contrary to the		
25	spirit of the Court's CMC Order and potentially would waste the Court and the parties' resources.		
26	Thus, in the interest of judicial efficiency, the Parties have agreed that Defendants' response to the		
27	Complaint should be due on a date determined at the CMC;		
28	WHEREAS, no party will be prejudiced by this extension of time;		

## Case 3:11-cv-04575-TEH Document 9 Filed 10/21/11 Page 3 of 4

1	WHEREAS, the continuance will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed		
2	by this Court;		
3	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, between counsel		
4	for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants, that Defendants' response to the Melendez Complaint		
5	will be due at a date to be determined at the MDL Case Management Conference.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8		Respectfully submitted,	
9	Dated: October 20, 2011	By: s/ Eric I. Goldberg	
10		Patrick S. Thompson Michael J. Moloney III GOODWIN PROCTER LLP	
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>		Three Embarcadero Center, 24th Floor San Francisco, California 94111	
13		Tel.: 415.733.6000 Fax: 415.677.9041	
13		David L. Permut (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
15		Eric I. Goldberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) <b>GOODWIN PROCTER</b> LLP  901 New York Ave., NW	
16		Washington, DC 20001 Tel.: 202.346.4000 Fax: 202.346.4444	
17			
18		Attorneys for Defendants Bank of America Corporation; Bank of America, N.A., and FIA Card Services, N.A.	
19	Dated: October 20, 2011	By: s/Gregory Link	
20		Brian P. Murray Gregory Linkh	
21		Murray Frank LLP 275 Madison Avenue, Suite 801	
22		New York, NY 10016 (212) 682-1818	
23		Fax: (212) 682-1892 Email: bmurray@murrayfrank.com	
24		Email: glinkh@murrayfrank.com	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		Brett H. Cebulash <b>Taus Cebulash &amp; Landau, LLP</b> 80 Maiden Lane, Suite 1204	
27		New York, NY 10038 212-931-0704	
28		Email: bcebulash@tcllaw.com	
	_ 2	) _	

- 3 -

Stipulation to Extend Time for Defendants to Respond to Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint

Case No. 11-CIV-4575-TEH MDL No. 11-2269-TEH